Case No. C 03-2699 JF ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (JFLC2)

Case 5:03-cv-02699-JF Document 35 Filed 07/22/09 Page 2 of 3

More than three years later, Dang has filed a motion for reconsideration of this Court's judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b). He asserts that new Ninth Circuit authority supports his claim that his sentence constitutes cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

This Court lacks jurisdiction to grant Dang the relief he requests. The appellate court's decision rendered the judgment final; this Court may conduct further proceedings only if explicitly authorized by the appellate court's mandate. See United States v. Thrasher, 483 F.3d

977, 981-82 (9th Cir. 2007). In this case, the mandate did not remand any portion of the case to

this Court. Accordingly, Dang's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

15 I

DATED: July 22, 2009

JEP MY FOGE Ut and States District Judge

Case 5:03-cv-02699-JF Document 35 Filed 07/22/09 Page 3 of 3 Copies of Order served on: Pamela K. Critchfield pamela.critchfield@doj.ca.gov, DocketingSFAWT@doj.ca.gov, janet.wong@doj.ca.gov Tamara Phillips Holland tamaraholland@earthlink.net Chau Dang E-74439 CSP-Solano P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, CA 95696